

УДК 511.45

Широков Б.М.

ON A LENGTH OF THE CONTINUED
FRACTION'S PERIOD

В статье дана оценка количества чисел d из отрезка натурального ряда, для которых непрерывная дробь для \sqrt{d} имеет большой период.

Let $L(d)$ be the length of the continued fraction's period of \sqrt{d} for d that isn't a square of an integer. Let p be a prime number, N, P, Q, k, r, s - natural numbers.

From the results of E.V.Podsypanin [1] it follows

$$L(d) = O(\sqrt{d} \log d).$$

From the results of E.P.Golubeva [2] it follows

$$I(d) = O(\sqrt{d} L(1, \chi) 2^{-\omega(d)}),$$

where χ is a character of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $\omega(d)$ is a number of prime divisors of d .

In the paper [3] has been proved that for any real $K > 0$ and for sufficiently great N the number of integers d , $N < d \leq 2N$, for which $L(d) \geq K\sqrt{d}$ don't exceed $cN/\log K$ with absolute constant c .

The irrational

$$\xi = \frac{-P + \sqrt{d}}{Q} \quad (1)$$

where P and Q satisfy to the congruence

$$P^2 \equiv d \pmod{Q} \quad (2)$$

is called reduced if $0 < \xi < 1$ and conjugate number $\xi' < -1$, $\xi' = (P - \sqrt{d})/Q$. By other words, ξ is reduced if

$$0 < P < \sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d} - P < Q < \sqrt{d} + P \quad (3)$$

Let's note that the continued fraction's remainders have form (1) and satisfy to conditions (2) and (3).

Let $H(d)$ denote a number of the all reduced irrationals of form (1) with the same d . The quantity $H(d)$ equal to the lengths sum of the not equivalent in pairs quadratic irrational's periods.

In this paper will be proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1. For any N

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} N^{3/2} + O(N) \leq \sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \leq 4\sqrt{2} N^{3/2}$$

with the absolute constant into the symbol O .

Theorem 2. For any N and real $K > 0$

$$\#\{d \mid N < d \leq 2N, L(d) \geq K\sqrt{d}\} < \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{K} N.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The quantity $H(d)$ equal to a number of all pairs (P, Q) of P and Q satisfying to inequalities (3) and to congruence (2).

Let $\rho(d, Q)$ denote the number of solutions of congruence (2). Then

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \leq \sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{0 < Q < 2\sqrt{d}} \rho(d, Q).$$

Let's change the order of summing in the right part:

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \leq \sum_{0 < Q < 2\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} \rho(d, Q) \quad (4)$$

We have increased the region of the variation of d for values $Q \geq \sqrt{2N}$. This permutation of the sums as a matter of fact is using of the quadratic reciprocity law.

Let's consider the interior sum of the right part of (4).

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} \rho(d, Q) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{[N/Q]+1} \sum_{d=N+(k-1)Q+1}^{N+kQ} \rho(d, Q) \quad (5)$$

where $[x]$ is an entire of real x . Let $S(k, Q)$ be the interior sum of the right part of (5). Let $\delta = (d, Q) = rs^2$, $d_1 = d/\delta$, $Q_1 = Q/\delta$, where r is a square-free integer. We are need in the following lemma now.

Lemma. *Congruence (2) is solvable if and only if d is a quadratic residue mod Q_1 and $(r, Q_1) = 1$. In this case there exist s solutions of congruence (2) for every solution of the congruence*

$$rx^2 \equiv d_1 \pmod{Q_1} \quad (6)$$

Proof. An existence of a solution of congruence (6) is necessary and sufficient for a solvable of congruence (2). Let (6) is solvable. Then $(r, Q_1) = 1$. If r' is an inverse element for r in the multiplicative group in the ring of residue classes mod Q_1 then $d_1 r'$ is a quadratic residue mod Q_1 . But r' is a quadratic residue if and only if r is a quadratic residue. Hence d_1 is a quadratic residue. Thus d is a quadratic residue mod Q_1 .

Inversly, if d is a quadratic residue mod Q_1 then d/s^2 is the same thing. Let's suppose that $(r, Q_1) = 1$. Then there exists r' that $rr' \equiv 1 \pmod{Q_1}$ and $d_1 r'$ is a quadratic residue mod Q_1 . Therefore, congruence (6) is solvable and congruence (2) is solvable too. The first statement of the lemma has proved.

Let x_0 be any solution of congruence (6). Put

$$P = rsx_0 + krsQ_1, \quad 0 \leq k < s. \quad (7)$$

It's clear that these numbers are not congruenced mod Q . Furthermore

$$P^2 = (rsx_0)^2 + 2rx_0Qk + k^2rx_0QQ_1 \equiv (rsx_0)^2 \equiv d \pmod{Q}.$$

Thus for every solution x_0 of congruence (6) formula (7) gives the s distinguish solutions of congruence (2). The lemma has proved.

Using the lemma we'll find the quantity $n(\delta, Q)$ of the numbers d with the fixed $\delta = (d, Q)$ for which congruence (2) is solvable.

Owing to the square-free factor r of the integer d is fixed the number such d is defined by the number of quadratic residues or non-residues $\text{mod } Q_1$, provided that r is a quadratic residue or non-residue correspondently.

For every prime factor p of Q_1 there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ such numbers $\text{mod } p$ and there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ such numbers $\text{mod } p^\alpha$. There are $\phi(Q_1)/2^{\omega(Q_1)}$ such numbers $\text{mod } Q_1$ for an odd integer Q_1 . Here $\phi(n)$ is the Euler's function and $\omega(n)$ is a number of prime factors of an integer n .

If Q_1 contains 2^k with maximum k , then the correspondent conditions have the form:

$$d \equiv r(\text{mod } 2^m), \quad m = \min\{k, 3\}.$$

Hence

$$n(\delta, Q) = \phi(Q_1)2^{-\omega(Q_1)} \text{ if } Q_1 \text{ is odd or } Q_1 \equiv 4(\text{mod } 8),$$

$$n(\delta, Q) = \phi(Q_1)2^{-\omega(Q_1)+1} \text{ if } Q_1 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4),$$

$$n(\delta, Q) = \phi(Q_1)2^{-\omega(Q_1)-1} \text{ if } Q_1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 8).$$

If for given d and Q congruence (2) is solvable then owing to the lemma for a number of the solutions we shall have:

$$\rho(d, Q) = 2^{\omega(Q_1)} s \text{ if } Q_1 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \text{ or } Q_1 \equiv 4(\text{mod } 8),$$

$$\rho(d, Q) = 2^{\omega(Q_1)-1} s \text{ if } Q_1 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4),$$

$$\rho(d, Q) = 2^{\omega(Q_1)+1} s \text{ if } Q_1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 8).$$

From this we get:

$$S(k, Q) = \sum_{rs^2 | Q, (r, Q/rs^2)=1} s \phi\left(\frac{Q}{rs^2}\right). \quad (8)$$

Let's denote u the production of the primes p which divide Q but p^2 don't divide Q and $v = Q/u$. Then

$$S(k, Q) = \sum_{s^2|v} \sum_{r|u} \phi\left(\frac{u}{r}\right) \sum_{\substack{(t, v/ts^2)=1 \\ t| \frac{v}{s^2}}} s \phi\left(\frac{v}{ts^2}\right) =$$

$$u \sum_{s^2|v} \sum_{t|v/s^2}^{\substack{(t, v/s^2)=1}} s \phi\left(\frac{v}{ts^2}\right) \leq u \sum_{d|v} \phi\left(\frac{v}{d}\right) = uv = Q.$$

Owing to (4) and (5) we find:

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \leq N \sum_{Q \leq 2\sqrt{2N}} \left(1 + \frac{Q}{N}\right) \leq 4\sqrt{2}N^{3/2}.$$

The right part of the inequality of theorem 1 has proved.

For the proof of the left part inequality we shall take into account only that pairs (P, Q) in the reduction region that satisfy to the conditions

$$0 < Q < \sqrt{d}, \quad \sqrt{d} - Q < P < \sqrt{d}.$$

In this case

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \geq \sum_{0 < Q < \sqrt{2N}} \sum_{d=N+1, (d, Q)=1}^{2N} \rho(d, Q).$$

The interior sum is estimated similarly to the same thing in (4):

$$\sum_{\substack{(d, N) \\ N < d < 2N}} \rho(d, Q) \geq [N/Q] \phi(Q).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} H(d) \geq \sum_{0 < Q < \sqrt{2N}} [N/Q] \phi(Q) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} N^{3/2} + O(N).$$

The theorem has proved.

Proof of theorem 2. Owing to $L(d) \leq H(d)$ theorem 1 implies

$$\sum_{d=N+1}^{2N} L(d) \leq 4\sqrt{2}N^{3/2}. \quad (9)$$

Let's choose any real K and denote n for a number of d in (9) for that $L(d) \geq K\sqrt{d}$. Then inequality (9) implies

$$nK\sqrt{N} \leq 4\sqrt{2}N^{3/2}.$$

From this inequality it follows the statement of theorem 2.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Podsypanin E.V. On a length of the quadratic irrational's period // Zap.nauch.sem.LOMI. 1979. V.82. P.95-99.(Rus).
2. Golubeva E.P. On a length of the quadratic irrational's period// Math.Sb. 1984. V.123, No.1. P.120-129.(Rus).
3. Rockett A.M., Szűsz P. On the Length of the Period of the continued Fractions of Square-Roots of Integers//Forum Math. 1990. V.2. No.2. P.119-123.