

UDC 517.518.86, 517.218.244, 517.927.2

B. BAYRAKTAR, J. E. NÁPOLES, F. RABOSI

ON GENERALIZATIONS OF INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

Abstract. In the present study, several new generalized integral inequalities of the Hadamard and Simpson-type are obtained. The results were obtained for functions whose first and third derivatives are either convex or satisfy the Lipschitz condition or the conditions of the Lagrange theorem. In a particular case, these results not only confirm but also improve some upper bounds, well known in the literature for the Simpson and Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities.

Key words: *convex function, Hermite–Hadamard inequality, Simpson-type inequality, Lipschitz conditions, Lagrange theorem, Riemann–Liouville fractional integral*

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: *26D15, 41A55*

1. Introduction. One of the notions that attracts more attention in mathematics today is probably that of the convex function. Its theoretical repercussions and multiple applications have made it the center of multiple works and research. Many of these continuous extensions and generalizations can be found in [23].

Definition 1. *The function $\varphi : [\sigma, \nu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if inequality*

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda\varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y)$$

holds $\forall x, y \in [\sigma, \nu]$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2. *A function $\varphi : [\sigma, \nu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasi-convex if the inequality*

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \max\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\}$$

holds $\forall x, y \in [\sigma, \nu]$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

One of the most important inequalities is called the Hermite–Hadamard inequality ([12], [11]):

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \leq \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

which is valid for any function φ , convex on the interval $[\sigma, \nu]$.

Along with the Hermite–Hadamard type of inequality, a well-known Simpson type of inequality is provided in the literature as follows: if $\varphi: [\sigma, \nu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a four times continuously differentiable function on (σ, ν) and $\|\varphi^{(4)}\|_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in (\sigma, \nu)} |\varphi^{(4)}(x)| < \infty$, then

$$\left| \frac{\nu - \sigma}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^5}{2880} \|\varphi^{(4)}\|_{\infty}. \quad (2)$$

In the last few decades, many researchers in the field of inequalities have refined, extended, and obtained new inequalities of the Hadamard and Simpson types for various classes of convex functions; for example, see [4], [6], [7], [10], [13], [24] and the references therein. A number of previous studies were devoted to obtaining new inequalities of the Simpson type using derivatives of a lower order than the fourth (for example, in [4], [10]). In addition, in many previous articles, Simpson-type inequalities were refined and obtained for various classes of convexity of functions. For example, see Alomari and Hussain in [2]. For quasi-convex functions, Hussain and Qaisar in [14] obtained the inequalities through preinvexity and prequasiinvexity. See Hua et al. in [13] and Chun et al. in [7] for s -convex and Bayraktar in [4] for r -convex functions: these authors obtained inequalities by using special means. Butt et al. in [6] also obtained Hadamard-type inequalities for η -quasi-convex and s -Godunov-Levin convex functions in terms of fractional integral operators. Özdemir et al. [24] obtained new integral inequalities for (α, s, m) -convex functions. The following three inequalities are known well in the literature. In [26] (Corollary 1), Sarikaya et al. proved the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\nu - \sigma}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{5(\nu - \sigma)^2 [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|]}{72}. \quad (3)$$

The estimate (3) was confirmed in some recent articles (see, for example, Corollary 2.5 in [16] for $w = 1$) as a special case of the obtained result. Dragomir and Agarwal in [9] (Theorem 2.2) proved the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma) [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|]}{8}. \quad (4)$$

This inequality was confirmed in some recent papers (see, for example Corollary 3.1(4) in [20]. In [17] (Theorem 2.2), Kirmaci obtained the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma) [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|]}{8}. \quad (5)$$

The inequality (5) was confirmed in some recent articles (see, for example, Remark 2 in [27] and Remark 2 in [5]). The classical definition of a Riemann–Liouville fractional integral in the literature is given in the following way:

Definition 3. Let $\varphi \in L[\sigma, \nu]$. The Riemann–Liouville integrals $J_{\sigma+}^{\alpha} \varphi$ and $J_{\nu-}^{\alpha} \varphi$ of order $\alpha > 0$ with $\sigma \geq 0$ are defined by

$$J_{\sigma+}^{\alpha} \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\sigma}^x (x - \xi)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x > \sigma,$$

$$J_{\nu-}^{\alpha} \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\nu} (\xi - x)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x < \nu,$$

respectively, where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du$. Here $J_{\sigma+}^0 \varphi(x) = J_{\nu-}^0 \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$. In the case of $\alpha = 1$, the fractional integral reduces to the classical integral.

This study was motivated by the work [8], in which the authors obtained an estimate of the Hadamard-type midpoint inequality in terms of the Lipschitz constant.

The aim of the article is to construct new generalized integral inequalities using the first and the third derivatives of a function and, using these inequalities, to obtain inequalities of the Hadamard and Simpson types by taking into account the fact that the derivatives of the function satisfy the conditions of either convexity, or satisfy the Lipschitz condition, or the conditions of the Lagrangian theorem.

1. Results obtained by using the first derivative. For the sake of brevity, we will use the following notation for some expressions:

$$\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) := \frac{2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(\nu-\sigma)^\alpha} \left[J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+\nu}{2}\right) + J_{\nu^-}^\alpha \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+\nu}{2}\right) \right],$$

$$\Psi(w, \varphi) := \int_0^1 w'(\xi) \left[\varphi\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu\right) \right] d\xi.$$

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(I^\circ)$ (I° be the interior of I) and $\sigma, \nu \in I$ with $0 \leq \sigma < \nu < \infty$. If $\varphi' \in L_1[\sigma, \nu]$ and $w(\xi)$ is a continuous function on the interval $[0, 1]$, then we have

$$\left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+\nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) = \frac{\nu-\sigma}{4} (I_1 - I_2), \quad (6)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 w(\xi) \varphi' \left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu \right) d\xi,$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^1 w(\xi) \varphi' \left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu \right) d\xi.$$

Proof. Integrating by parts for I_1 and I_2 , we get

$$I_1 = \frac{2[w(1)\varphi(\nu) - w(0)\varphi(\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2})]}{\nu-\sigma} - \frac{2}{\nu-\sigma} \int_0^1 w'(\xi) \varphi \left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu \right) d\xi,$$

$$I_2 = \frac{2[w(0)\varphi(\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}) - w(1)\varphi(\sigma)]}{\nu-\sigma} + \frac{2}{\nu-\sigma} \int_0^1 w'(\xi) \varphi \left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu \right) d\xi.$$

By subtracting the second equality from the first, dividing both sides of the resulting equality by expression A, and taking into account the accepted designations, we obtain equality (6). The proof is completed. \square

Remark. By choosing the function $w(\xi)$ in the left-hand side of (6), we can get various Hermite–Hadamard or Simpson-type expressions, for example:

- 1) If $w(\xi) = c\xi + d$ and $((d < 0$ and $c > |d|)$ or $(c < 0$ and $|c| > d > 0))$ then, in the left-hand side of identity (6), we get an expression of the Simpson type:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu - \sigma}{c} \left[(c + d) \cdot \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - d \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi = \\ = \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2}{4c} (I_1 - I_2). \end{aligned}$$

- 2) If $w(\xi) = c\xi$, we get the identity obtained by Alomari in [1] (Lemma 2.1), Iqbal in [15] (Lemma 2, for $\lambda = \mu$), Latif in [18] (Lemma 2.1), and in [19] (Lemma 1, for $x = \sigma$ or $x = \nu$).
- 3) If $c \cdot d < 0$ and $|d| = |c|$, we get the identity obtained by Iqbal in [15] (Lemma 3, for $\lambda = \mu$);
- 4) If $w(\xi) = c\xi^\alpha + d$, then, under one of the conditions $(d < 0$ & $c > |d|)$ or $(c < 0$ & $|c| > d)$ on the left-hand side of (6), we will always have an expression like Simpson by using the fractional operator

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} \left[(c + d) \cdot \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + d \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) = \\ = \frac{\nu - \sigma}{4c} (I_1 - I_2). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

- 5) If $w(\xi) = \frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$, we get the identity obtained by Matloka in [21] (Lemma 5);
- 6) If $w(\xi) = c\xi^\alpha$, we get the identity obtained by Mihai in [22] (Lemma 1, for $x = \nu$) and Awan et al. in [3] (Lemma 2.5, for $n = 1$ and $x = \nu$).
- 7) If $c \cdot d < 0$ and $|d| = |c|$, we get the identity, which is equivalent to the identity obtained by Sarıkaya in [27] (Lemma 3).

8) If $w(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^\alpha$, we get the identity obtained by Sarikaya and Yildirim in [27](Lemma 3).

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and $|\varphi'|$ is convex on $[\sigma, \nu]$. Then the equality

$$\left| \left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma) [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|]}{4} \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| d\xi \quad (8)$$

holds. Here $\Psi(w, \varphi)$ has been defined above.

Proof. Since $|\varphi'|$ is convex, then, from (6) for $(I_1 - I_2)$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1 - I_2| &\leq |I_1| + |I_2| \leq \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left[\left| \varphi' \left(\frac{1-\xi}{2} \sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2} \nu \right) \right| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \varphi' \left(\frac{1+\xi}{2} \sigma + \frac{1-\xi}{2} \nu \right) \right| \right] d\xi = [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|] \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem follows from this inequality. \square

Remark. If we accept that $w(\xi) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\xi}{2}$, then, from (8), we obtain (3). If we choose $w(\xi) = c\xi$ with $c \neq 0$, then, from (8), we obtain the inequality (4). If $w(\xi) = c(1-\xi)$ with $c \neq 0$, then, from (8), we obtain the inequality (5). Take $w(\xi) = \frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$ and take into account $\frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, $\forall \xi \in [0, 1]$; then, from (8), we get the inequality obtained by Matloka in [21] (see Corollary 7).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = c\xi^\alpha$, then (8) gives for $c = 2$ the inequality obtained by Mihai in [22] (Theorem 1, for $x = \nu$) and Set et al. in [28] (Theorem 8, for $n = 1$, $x = \nu$, $m = 1$).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi^\alpha$, then, from (8), we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha(\nu - \sigma)}{2(\alpha + 1)} [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|].$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^\alpha$, then, from (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{(\nu - \sigma)^\alpha} \left[J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^+}^\alpha \varphi(\nu) + J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^-}^\alpha \varphi(\sigma) \right] \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{\nu - \sigma}{4(\alpha + 1)} [|\varphi'(\sigma)| + |\varphi'(\nu)|]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, this inequality gives (5).

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi : I = [\sigma, \nu] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(I^\circ)$. If φ' satisfies the Lipschitz condition on I with respect to K , then the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi, \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(w, \varphi)$ was previously defined.

Proof. For the $I_2 - I_1$ from (6) and by taking into account the Lipschitz condition, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - I_1| &\leq \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \varphi'\left(\frac{1 - \xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1 + \xi}{2}\nu\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{1 + \xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1 - \xi}{2}\nu\right) \right| d\xi \leq \\ &\leq K \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \frac{1 - \xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1 + \xi}{2}\nu - \frac{1 + \xi}{2}\sigma - \frac{1 - \xi}{2}\nu \right| d\xi = \\ &= K \cdot (\nu - \sigma) \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality obviously implies (9). \square

Remark. If we take into account the fact that the functions φ' are Lipschitz with the constant $K \leq m\sigma x |\varphi''(x)| = \|\varphi''\|_\infty < \infty$, then

$$\left| \left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty}{4} \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi. \quad (10)$$

Remark. If we accept that $w(\xi) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\xi}{2}$, then from (9) and (10) we get the inequality obtained by Delavar et al. in [25] (see Remark 1(2)).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = \xi$, then from (9) and (10) we obtain the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_\sigma^\nu \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \frac{K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{12} \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty}{12}.$$

Remark.

(i0) If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi$, then we get the inequality obtained by Delavar and Dragomir in [8] (Corollary 2.4).

(i1) If we take $w(\xi) = \frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$ and take into account $\frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}, \forall \xi \in [0, 1]$, then from (9) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{2} \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi \leq \frac{K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

(i2) If $w(\xi) = c\xi^\alpha$, then from (9) we get

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{|c| K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{4(\alpha + 2)}.$$

(i3) If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi^\alpha$, then from (9) we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{8(\alpha + 2)}.$$

(i4) If $w(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^\alpha$, then from (9) we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{(\nu - \sigma)^\alpha} \left[J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^+}^\alpha \varphi(\nu) + J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^-}^\alpha \varphi(\sigma) \right] \right| \leq \frac{K \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^2}{4(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)}.$$

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$; suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and φ' is a continuous function on the closed interval $[\sigma, \nu]$ and differentiable on the open interval (σ, ν) . Then there exists some ς in (σ, ν) , such as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2}{4} |\varphi''(\varsigma)| \int_0^1 \xi |w(\xi)| d\xi \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2}{4} \|\varphi''\|_\infty \int_0^1 \xi |w(\xi)| d\xi, \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(w, \varphi)$ has been previously defined and $\|\varphi''\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [\sigma, \nu]} |\varphi''(x)|$.

Proof. From (6) and Lagrange's theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - I_1| & \leq \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \varphi'\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu\right) \right| d\xi \leq \\ & \leq |\varphi''(\varsigma)| (\nu - \sigma) \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu - \frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma - \frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu \right| d\xi = \\ & = |\varphi''(\varsigma)| (\nu - \sigma) \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality obviously implies (11). \square

Remark. If we accept that $w(\xi) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\xi}{2}$, then from (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\nu - \sigma}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma_2) + \varphi(\sigma)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \int_\sigma^\nu \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{2|\varphi''(\xi)| \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^3}{81} \leq \frac{2\|\varphi''\|_\infty \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^3}{81}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If we take $w(\xi) = \frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$ and take into account $\frac{\xi^\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, $\forall \xi \in [0, 1]$, then from (11) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2 |\varphi''(\xi)|}{12} \leq \frac{\|\varphi''\|_\infty \cdot (\nu - \sigma)^3}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = c\xi^\alpha$, then from (11) we get

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{|c| |\varphi''(\xi)| (\nu - \sigma)^2}{4(\alpha + 2)} \leq \frac{|c| (\nu - \sigma)^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty}{4(\alpha + 2)}.$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi^\alpha$, then from (11) we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^\alpha, J_{\nu^-}^\alpha) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha (\nu - \sigma)^2 |\varphi''(\xi)|}{8(\alpha + 2)} \leq \frac{\alpha (\nu - \sigma)^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty}{8(\alpha + 2)}.$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^\alpha$, then from (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{(\nu - \sigma)^\alpha} \left[J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^+}^\alpha \varphi(\nu) + J_{\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right)^-}^\alpha \varphi(\sigma) \right] \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2 |\varphi''(\xi)|}{4(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)} \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty}{4(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It was established that for some functions φ , defined on the interval $[\sigma, \nu]$, for all $\xi \in [0, 1]$, the following inequality holds:

$$|\varphi(\xi\sigma + (1 - \xi)\nu) - \varphi(\xi\nu + (1 - \xi)\sigma)| \leq |1 - 2\xi| |\varphi(\nu) - \varphi(\sigma)|. \quad (13)$$

For example, the function $\varphi(x) = x^n$, $x \in [0, \nu]$ satisfies the inequality (13) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For many functions that are convex on a given interval, it is impossible to prove analytically whether (13) holds or does not hold, but it is easy to prove numerically by using the MS Excel spreadsheet software (for example: for functions $f(x) = -\ln(x + 1)$, $g(x) = e^x$, $w(x) = \sin x + \cos x + x^2$ and $h(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + 1}$).

From (6), it is easy to establish the validity of the following corollary:

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^1(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and φ' satisfies the inequality (13) on $[\sigma, \nu]$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[w(1) \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - w(0) \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(w, \varphi) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma) |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|}{4} \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here, $\Psi(w, \varphi)$ has been previously defined.

Proof. By taking into account the inequality (13) for the right-hand side of (6), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - I_1| &\leq \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \varphi'\left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\nu + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\sigma\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\nu + \frac{1-\xi}{2}\sigma\right) \right| d\xi \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |w(\xi)| \left| \frac{1-\xi}{2}\varphi'(\nu) + \frac{1+\xi}{2}\varphi'(\sigma) - \frac{1+\xi}{2}\varphi'(\nu) - \frac{1-\xi}{2}\varphi'(\sigma) \right| d\xi = \\ &= |\varphi'(\sigma) - \varphi'(\nu)| \int_0^1 \xi \cdot |w(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality obviously implies (14). \square

Remark. If we accept that $w(\xi) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\xi}{2}$, then from (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi(\nu) + \varphi(\sigma) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{81} |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|. \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Obviously, the error of estimate (15) is more than 2,5 times better than the estimate (3).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = \xi$, then from (14) we obtain the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \frac{\nu - \sigma}{12} |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|. \quad (16)$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi$, then from (14) we obtain the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu - \sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{\nu - \sigma}{24} |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|. \quad (17)$$

Remark. If we take $w(\xi) = \frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$ and by take into account $\frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, $\forall \xi \in [0, 1]$, then from (14) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} + 2\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] - \mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^{\alpha}, J_{\nu^-}^{\alpha}) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{\nu - \sigma}{12} |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If $w(\xi) = c\xi^{\alpha}$, then from (14) we get

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu)}{2} - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^{\alpha}, J_{\nu^-}^{\alpha}) \right| \leq \frac{|c|(\nu - \sigma) |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|}{4(\alpha + 2)}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$ and $|c| = 1$, this inequality gives (17).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = 1 - \xi^{\alpha}$, then from (14) we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^{\alpha}, J_{\nu^-}^{\alpha}) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha |\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|}{8(\alpha + 2)}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, this inequality gives (17).

Remark. If $w(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^{\alpha}$, then from (14) we get

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) - 2\mathbf{U}(J_{\sigma^+}^{\alpha}, J_{\nu^-}^{\alpha}) \right| \leq \frac{|\varphi'(\nu) - \varphi'(\sigma)|}{4(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, this inequality gives (17).

For convex functions, provided that inequality (13) holds, the obtained estimates (16) and (17) for the right and left Hermite–Hadamard inequalities are undoubtedly better than estimates (4) and (5) available in the literature.

2. Results of using the third derivative. The literature includes some studies where the inequality estimate is obtained on subintervals of

the interval $[\sigma, \nu]$. The formulated lemma gives us an identity depending on the parameter h . This parameter allows us to get estimates on subintervals of the interval $[\sigma, \nu]$.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi \in C^3(I^\circ)$, and $\sigma, \nu \in I$ with $0 \leq \sigma < \nu < \infty$. If $\varphi''' \in L_1[\sigma, \nu]$ and $h \in (0, 1]$, then we have:

$$\left(\int_c^{\frac{\nu+c}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right) - \frac{h(\nu - \sigma)}{3} \left[\frac{\varphi(d) + \varphi(c)}{2} + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) \right] + \frac{h^2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{24} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) \right] = \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{12} (I_1 - I_2), \quad (18)$$

where $c = h\sigma + (1-h)\nu$, $d = (1-h)\sigma + h\nu$ and $c, d \in [\sigma, \nu]$,

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2 (h - 2\xi) \varphi'''((1-h+\xi)\sigma + (h-\xi)\nu) d\xi,$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2 (h - 2\xi) \varphi'''((h-\xi)\sigma + (1-h+\xi)\nu) d\xi.$$

Proof. By integrating both integrals by parts thrice, and, finally, by making the change of variables, we get:

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2 (h - 2\xi) \varphi'''((1-h+\xi)\sigma + (h-\xi)\nu) d\xi =$$

$$= \frac{h^2}{2(\nu - \sigma)^2} \varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) + \frac{4h}{(\nu - \sigma)^3} \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{2h}{(\nu - \sigma)^3} \varphi(d) - \frac{12}{(\nu - \sigma)^4} \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$I_2 = \frac{h^2}{2(\nu - \sigma)^2} \varphi'\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) - \frac{4h}{(\nu - \sigma)^3} \varphi\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) -$$

$$- \frac{2h}{(\nu - \sigma)^3} \varphi(c) + \frac{12}{(\nu - \sigma)^4} \int_c^{\frac{c+\nu}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi.$$

By taking into account $\nu - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{2}h = \frac{c + \nu}{2}$ for the difference between the integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 - I_2 &= \frac{h^2}{2(\nu - \sigma)^2} \left[\varphi' \left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2} \right) - \varphi' \left(\frac{c + \nu}{2} \right) \right] - \\ &\quad - \frac{4h}{(\nu - \sigma)^3} \left[\frac{\varphi(d) + \varphi(c)}{2} + \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{c + \nu}{2} \right) \right) \right] + \\ &\quad + \frac{12}{(\nu - \sigma)^4} \left[\int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_c^{\frac{c+\nu}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By dividing both sides of this equation by the expression $\frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{12}$, we get (18). The proof is completed. \square

Remark. In (18), if we choose $h = 1$ and change the variables $1 - 2\xi = z$, then we get identity from [7] (see Lemma 2.1).

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^3(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and φ''' satisfies the Lipschitz condition on $[\sigma, \nu]$ with respect to K . Then the following Simpson-type inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left(\int_c^{\frac{\nu+c}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{h(\nu - \sigma)}{6} \left[\varphi(d) + \varphi(c) + 2 \cdot \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{c + \nu}{2} \right) \right) \right] \right| + \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{h^2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{24} \left[\varphi' \left(\frac{c + \nu}{2} \right) - \varphi' \left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2} \right) \right] \right| \leq \\ &\quad \leq \frac{h^4 (|7h - 5|) (\nu - \sigma)^5 K}{5760}, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in (0, 1]$, $c = h\sigma + (1 - h)\nu$ and $d = (1 - h)\sigma + h\nu$.

Proof. For the $I_1 - I_2$ from (18) taking into account the Lipschitz condition, we can write:

$$|I_1 - I_2| \leq \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2 (h - 2\xi) |\varphi'''((1 - h + \xi)\sigma + (h - \xi)\nu) - \varphi'''(\frac{c + \nu}{2})| d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\varphi'''((h - \xi)\sigma + (1 - h + \xi)\nu) |d\xi \leq \\
 & \leq K \cdot (\nu - \sigma) \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2(h - 2\xi) |2h - 2\xi - 1| d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\forall \xi \in [0, \frac{h}{2}]$, $\begin{cases} 2h - 2\xi - 1 < 0, & h \in [0; 0,5) \\ 2h - 2\xi - 1 \geq 0, & h \in [0,5; 1] \end{cases}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1 - I_2| & \leq K \cdot (\nu - \sigma) \left| \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2(h - 2\xi) (2\xi - 2h + 1) d\xi \right| = \\
 & = K \cdot (\nu - \sigma) \left| \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [-(2h - 1)h\xi^2 + 2(3h - 1)\xi^3 - 4\xi^4] d\xi \right| = \\
 & = K \cdot (\nu - \sigma) \frac{h^4 |7h - 5|}{480}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the last inequality by the expression $\frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{12}$, we get (19). Proof is completed. \square

Remark. In (19), if we take $h = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\frac{3\sigma+\nu}{4}}^{\frac{3\nu+\sigma}{4}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{3\sigma + \nu}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\nu + \sigma}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + 3\nu}{4}\right) \right] \right| + \\
 & + \left| \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2}{96} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma + 3\nu}{4}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{3\sigma + \nu}{4}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^5 \cdot K}{61400}. \quad (20)
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. In (19), if we take $h = 1$, then we obtain an analogue of the well-known inequality (2):

$$\left| \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^5 \cdot K}{2880}. \quad (21)$$

From (18) and Lagrange’s theorem, it is not difficult (see the proof of Theorem 2) to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Let $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi \in C^3(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and φ''' is a continuous function on the closed interval $[\sigma, \nu]$

and differentiable on the open interval (σ, ν) . Then there exists some $\varsigma \in (\sigma, \nu)$, such as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\int_c^{\frac{\nu+c}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{h(\nu - \sigma)}{6} \left[\varphi(d) + \varphi(c) + 2 \cdot \left(\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) \right) \right] \right| + \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{h^2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{24} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{h^4 |\varphi'(\varsigma)| (|7h - 5|) (\nu - \sigma)^5}{5760}, \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in (0, 1]$, $c = h\sigma + (1 - h)\nu$ and $d = (1 - h)\sigma + h\nu$.

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^3(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and $|\varphi'''|$ is convex on $[\sigma, \nu]$. Then the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\int_c^{\frac{\nu+c}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{h(\nu - \sigma)}{6} \left[\varphi(d) + \varphi(c) + 2 \cdot \left(\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) \right) \right] \right| + \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{h^2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{24} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{c+\nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma+d}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{h^4 (\nu - \sigma)^4}{1152} [|\varphi'''(\nu)| + |\varphi'''(\sigma)|] \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here $h \in (0, 1]$, $c = h\sigma + (1 - h)\nu$ and $d = (1 - h)\sigma + h\nu$.

Proof. Because $|\varphi'''|$ is a convex function, then (18) gives, for the $(I_1 - I_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1 - I_2| & \leq |I_1| + |I_2| = \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2 (h - 2\xi) [|\varphi'''((1 - h + \xi)\sigma + (h - \xi)\nu)| + \\ & \quad + |\varphi'''((h - \xi)\sigma + (1 - h + \xi)\nu)|] d\xi = \\ & = [|\varphi'''(\nu)| + |\varphi'''(\sigma)|] \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} |\xi^2 (h - 2\xi)| d\xi = \frac{h^4}{96} [|\varphi'''(\nu)| + |\varphi'''(\sigma)|]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the last inequality by the expression $\frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{12}$, we get (23). Proof is completed. \square

Remark. In (23), if we take $h = 1$, we have:

$$\left| \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{1152} [|\varphi'''(\nu)| + |\varphi'''(\sigma)|]. \quad (24)$$

Remark. This estimate was obtained by Hussain and Qaisar in [14] (Theorem 2) and Bayraktar in [4] (see Remark 4.1)

Remark. In (23), if we take $h = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\frac{3\sigma + \nu}{4}}^{\frac{\sigma + 3\nu}{4}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{3\sigma + \nu}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + 3\nu}{4}\right) \right] \right| + \left| \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^2}{96} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma + 3\nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{3\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{16 \cdot 1152} [|\varphi'''(\nu)| + |\varphi'''(\sigma)|]. \quad (25)$$

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^3(I^\circ)$. For $0 \leq \sigma < \nu$, suppose that $\sigma, \nu \in I^\circ$ and φ''' satisfies the inequality (13) on $[\sigma, \nu]$. Then the inequality:

$$\left| \left(\int_c^{\frac{\nu+c}{2}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\frac{\sigma+d}{2}}^d \varphi(\xi) d\xi \right) - \frac{h(\nu - \sigma)}{6} \left[\varphi(d) + \varphi(c) + 2 \cdot \left(\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{c + \nu}{2}\right) \right) \right] \right| + \left| \frac{h^2(\nu - \sigma)^2}{24} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{c + \nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma + d}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{h^4 (|7h - 5|) (\nu - \sigma)^4}{5760} |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)| \quad (26)$$

holds. Here, $h \in (0, 1]$, $c = h\sigma + (1 - h)\nu$ and $d = (1 - h)\sigma + h\nu$.

Proof. For the $(I_1 - I_2)$ from (18), by taking into account (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1 - I_2| &\leq \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2(h - 2\xi) |\varphi'''((1 - h + \xi)\sigma + (h - \xi)\nu) - \\ &\quad - \varphi'''((h - \xi)\sigma + (1 - h + \xi)\nu)| d\xi = \\ &= |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)| \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2(h - 2\xi) |2h - 2\xi - 1| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\forall \xi \in [0, \frac{h}{2}]$, $\begin{cases} 2h - 2\xi - 1 < 0, & h \in [0; 0,5) \\ 2h - 2\xi - 1 \geq 0, & h \in [0,5; 1] \end{cases}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1 - I_2| &= |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)| \left| \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \xi^2(h - 2\xi) (2\xi - 2h + 1) d\xi \right| = \\ &= \frac{h^4 |7h - 5|}{16 \cdot 30} |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)|. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the last inequality by the expression $\frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{12}$, we get (26). Proof is completed. \square

Remark. In (26), if we take $h = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\sigma}^{\nu} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu - \sigma}{6} \left[\varphi(\sigma) + \varphi(\nu) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{\sigma + \nu}{2}\right) \right] \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{2880} |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)| \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. Estimate of the upper bound (27) for Simpson's inequality is better than estimate (2).

Proof. Indeed, from Lagrange's theorem, for the right-hand side of (27), we have:

$$\frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{2880} |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)| = \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^4}{2880} |\varphi^{(4)}(\xi)| (\nu - \sigma) \leq \frac{(\nu - \sigma)^5}{2880} \|\varphi^{(4)}\|_{\infty}, \quad (28)$$

where $\xi \in (\sigma, \nu)$. \square

Remark. In (23), if we take $h = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\frac{3\sigma+\nu}{4}}^{\frac{\sigma+3\nu}{4}} \varphi(\xi) d\xi - \frac{\nu-\sigma}{6} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{3\sigma+\nu}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+\nu}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\sigma+3\nu}{4}\right) \right] \right| + \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{(\nu-\sigma)^2}{96} \left[\varphi'\left(\frac{\sigma+3\nu}{2}\right) - \varphi'\left(\frac{3\sigma+\nu}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \frac{(\nu-\sigma)^4}{16 \cdot 3840} |\varphi'''(\nu) - \varphi'''(\sigma)|. \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Alomari M., Darus M., Kirmaci. *U. S. Refinements of Hadamard-type inequalities for quasi-convex functions with applications to trapezoidal formula and to special means*. Comput. Math. with Appl., 2010, vol. 59, pp. 225–232. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.08.002>
- [2] Alomari M., Hussain S. *Two inequalities for quasi-convex functions and applications*. Appl. Math. E-Notes, 2011, vol. 11, pp. 110–117.
- [3] Awan M. U., Noor M. A., Mihai M. V., Noor K. I. *Fractional Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for differentiable s-Godunova-Levin functions*. Filomat, 2016, vol. 30(12), pp. 3235–3241.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2489933>
- [4] Bayraktar B. *Some integral inequalities for functions whose absolute values of the third derivative is concave and r-convex*, Turkish J. Ineq., 2020, vol. 4(2), pp. 59–78.
- [5] Budak H., Tunç T., Sarıkaya M. Z. *On Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for φ -convex function*. Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 2019, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 169–191. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2019.2436>
- [6] Butt S. I., Bayraktar B., Umar, M. *Several new integral inequalities via k -Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals operators*. Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 2021, vol. 10(28-1), pp. 3–22.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2021.8770>
- [7] Chun L., Qi F. *Inequalities of Simpson type for functions whose third derivatives are extended s-convex functions and applications to means*. J. Comp. Anal. Appl., 2015, vol. 19(3), pp. 555–569.
- [8] Delavar M. R., Dragomir S. S. *Hermite–Hadamard’s midpoint type inequalities for generalized fractional integrals*. RACSAM, 2020, vol. 114(2), 73 p. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-020-00795-6>

- [9] Dragomir S. S., Agarwal R. P. *Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula*. Appl. Math. Lett., 1998, vol. 11, pp. 91–95.
- [10] Dragomir S. S., Agarwal R. P., Cerone P. *On Simpson's inequality and applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2000, vol. 5(6), pp. 533–579.
- [11] Hadamard J. *Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann*. J. Math. Pures Appl., 1892, vol. 58, pp. 171–215.
- [12] Hermite C. *Sur deux limites d'une intégrale définie*. Mathesis, 1883, vol. 3(82).
- [13] Hua J., Xi B.-Y., Qi F. *Some new inequalities of Simpson type for strongly s -convex functions*. Afrika Mat., 2014.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13370-014-0242-2>
- [14] Hussain S., Qaisar S. *Generalizations of Simpson's type inequalities through preinvexity and prequasiinvexity*. Journal of Mathematics, 2014, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 1–9.
- [15] Iqbal M., Bhatti M. I., Dragomir S. S. *Hadamard-Type Inequalities through h -convexity*. Research Report Collection, 2011, vol. 14, no. 63.
- [16] Kashuri A., Meftah B., Mohammed P. O. *Some weighted Simpson type inequalities for differentiable s -convex functions and their applications*. Journal of Fractional Calculus and Nonlinear Systems, 2021, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 75–94. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48185/jfcns.v1i1.150>
- [17] Kirmaci U. *Inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers to midpoint formula*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2004, vol. 147, no. 5, pp. 137–146.
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003\(02\)00657-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003(02)00657-4)
- [18] Latif M. A. *On some new inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for functions whose derivatives are s -convex in the Second Sense in the Absolute Value*. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 2016, vol. 67(10), pp. 1552–1571. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-016-1172-y>
- [19] Latif M. A. *Inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for functions whose derivatives in absolute value are convex with applications*. Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 2015, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 84–97.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajmsc.2014.01.002>
- [20] Latif M. A., Kunt M. T., Dragomir S. S., İşcan I. *Post-quantum trapezoid type inequalities*. AIMS Mathematics, 2020, vol. 5(4), pp. 4011–4026.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020258>

- [21] Matloka M. *Some inequalities of Simpson type for h -convex functions via fractional integrals*. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2015.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/956850>
- [22] Mihai M. V., Mitroi F-C. *Hermite – Hadamard type inequalities obtained via Riemann – Liouville fractional calculus*. Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, 2014, vol. 83(2), pp. 209 – 215.
- [23] Nápoles J. E., Rabossi F., Samaniego A. D. *Convex functions: Ariadne’s thread or Charlotte’s spiderweb?* Advanced Mathematical Models & Applications, 2020, vol. 5(2), pp. 176 – 191.
- [24] Özdemir M. E., Butt S. I., Bayraktar B., Nasir J. *Several integral inequalities for (α, s, m) -convex functions*. AIMS Mathematics, 2020, vol. 5(4), pp. 3906 – 3921. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020253>
- [25] Delavar M. R., Kashuri A., De La Sen M. *On weighted Simpson’s $\frac{3}{8}$ rule*. Symmetry, 2021, vol. 13, no. 1933.
- [26] Sarıkaya M. Z., Set E., Özdemir M. E. *On new inequalities of Simpson’s type for convex functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll, 2010, vol.13(2).
- [27] Sarıkaya M. Z., Yildirim H. *On Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals*. Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 2017, vol. 17(2), pp. 1049 – 1059.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2017.1197>
- [28] Set E., Sami Karata S., Khan M. A. *Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities obtained via fractional integral for differentiable m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions*. International Journal of Analysis, 2016.

Received December 9, 2021.

In revised form, May 23, 2022.

Accepted May 27, 2022.

Published online June 7, 2022.

B. Bayraktar

Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Education, Gorukle Campus,
16059, Bursa, Turkey.

E-mail: bbayraktar@uludag.edu.tr

J. E. Nápoles

UNNE, FaCENA, Ave. Libertad 5450, Corrientes 3400, Argentina
UTN-FRRE, French 414, Resistencia, Chaco 3500, Argentina

E-mail: jnapoles@exa.unne.edu.ar

F. Rabossi

UTN-FRRE, French 414, Resistencia, Chaco 3500, Argentina

E-mail: flor_rabossi@hotmail.com